		n motion for a competency evaluation. le 5-602.1 NMRA]
		/ MEXICO
		DISTRICT COURT
[STATE O [COUNTY [CITY OF	F NEV	V MEXICO]]]
v.		No
		, Defendant.
		ORDER ON [TRANSFER] [MOTION] FOR COMPETENCY EVALUATION
[municipal] court	er comes before the Court upon transfer from the [magistrate] after a finding of a reasonable belief that the defendant may not be and trial. The defendant [] is [] is not in custody.
OR		
response i	in opp	t, having considered the motion for competency evaluation [and the osition] [and after a hearing] and being otherwise fully advised in the and CONCLUDES:
[]	bel	e motion is well-taken and is GRANTED because there is a reasonable lief that the defendant may not be not competent to stand trial based on the following:
	[]	The facts alleged in the motion for a competency evaluation;
follows:	[]	The court=s observations of the defendant, described as
_		
and		,
	[]	Other:

_	- -			
[] T	he motion is not well-taken and is DENIED.			
(Complete the GRANTED)	following only if the case has been transferred or the motion is			
The Cou	urt therefore ORDERS the following:			
1. A	competency evaluation shall be performed by			
	The evaluation shall be completed and a written report shall be filed with a thirty (30) days of the filing of this order.			
(a	The report filed under Paragraph 2 of this order shall include the following: a) a description of the procedures, tests, and techniques used by the			
about the deferment of the evaluator of the evaluation or alleged crime of any other crime (control of the evaluation).	a clear statement of the evaluator=s clinical findings and opinions ndant=s competency; a description of the sources of information and the factual basis for s clinical findings and opinions, provided that the report shall not include opinions concerning the defendant=s mental condition at the time of the or any statements made by the defendant regarding the alleged crime or e; and d) the reasoning by which the evaluator utilized the information to cal findings and opinions.			
4. Any party who objects to the conclusion set forth in the report filed under Paragraph 2 of this order shall file that party=s objections in writing within seven (7) days of the filing of the report.				
5. The parties shall return to court for a hearing on the question of the defendant=s competency on (datecnot to exceed forty-five (45) days from the date of this order) at (time), unless the court, upon its own motion or upon the motion of the parties, rules at an earlier time on the defendant=s competency without a hearing.				
(Optional) 6. T orders the follo	The court has considered the defendant=s conditions of release and owing:			
order of the co	-			

shall be held on	ring to set or review the defendant=s conditions of release (<i>date</i>).	
7. Other:		
	IT IS SO ORDERED.	
	District Court	
Attorney for the State		
Attorney for the defendant		
[Approved by Supreme Court Order No. after February 1, 2019.]	18-8300-023, effective for all cases filed on or	