10-532. Permanency review order. STATE OF NEW MEXICO COUNTY OF _____ JUDICIAL DISTRICT IN THE CHILDREN'S COURT STATE OF NEW MEXICO ex rel. CHILDREN, YOUTH AND FAMILIES DEPARTMENT No. In the Matter of _____, (a) Child(ren), and Concerning _____, Respondent(s). PERMANENCY REVIEW ORDER This matter came before the [Honorable] [Special Master], on (*date*) for permanency review. The New Mexico Children, Youth and Families Department (CYFD) was represented by _____, children's court attorney. _____ (name(s) of child(ren)) was/were [not] present [and] [but] was/were represented by ______, (guardian ad litem/attorney). (Expand as necessary) Respondent(s) _____ was/were [not] present [by telephone] [and] [but] was/were represented by attorney . (Expandmodify as necessary) The CASA was [not] present. (If applicable) A court certified interpreter did [not] provide interpretation services for the hearing. The Court has heard the [evidence] [stipulation of the parties], reviewed the pleadings, is fully advised in the matter, and FINDS: The Court has jurisdiction over the subject matter of this cause and the parties in this cause, except _____, who has/have not yet been served and has/have not otherwise made a voluntary appearance or waived service of summons.1 _____(name(s) of child(ren)) is/are [not] subject to the Indian 2. Child Welfare Act (ICWA).] [It is undetermined if ICWA applies, so at the present time, (name(s) of child(ren)) is/are not subject to ICWA.] (If ICWA applies, select one of the following and delete the others: otherwise, delete this paragraph) (name(s) of child(ren)) is/are placed in the foster care of a member of the child(ren)'s tribe which is a preferential placement in accordance with ICWA. (name(s) of child(ren)) is/are placed in the foster b. care of a Native American family which is a preferential placement in accordance with ICWA.

c(name(s) of child(ren)) is/are placed in the foster					
care of their (<i>relationship</i>) which is a preferential treatment in accordance with ICWA.					
d. (name(s) of child(ren)) is/are not placed in the					
foster care of a Native American family which is not a preferential placement as defined					
in ICWA, but there is good cause for the placement because the placement is the least					
restrictive setting that closely approximates a family in which the child(ren)'s special					
needs may be met, or the placement is in reasonable proximity to the Indian child(ren)'s					
home, taking into account any special needs of the Indian child(ren).					
AND					
CYFD should continue to place (name(s) of child(ren)) with a					
custodian already selected, so long as the placement remains in the child(ren)'s best					
interests and in accordance with ICWA.					
4. The substitute care provider was notified of this hearing and [was not					
present] [was present and given the opportunity to be heard].					
5. CYFD has made reasonable (and if ICWA applies, add "active") efforts to					
implement the treatment plan previously ordered by the Court.					
6. (Include this finding only if ICWA applies; otherwise delete) CYFD has					
made active efforts to provide remedial services and rehabilitative programs designed to					
prevent the breakup of the Indian family.					
7. CYFD has made reasonable efforts to finalize the permanency plan					
currently in effect, which is, as follows: (Be factually specific in					
enunciating what CYFD has done to accomplish the goal inherent in the permanency					
plan identified above) 8. With respect to Respondent .					
8. With respect to Respondent					
i. This respondent has complied with the treatment plan as follows.					
b. This Respondent has failed to comply with the treatment plan as follows:					
c. This Respondent has progressed in the following ways:					
;					
d. This Respondent needs to make further progress in the following areas:					
(Repeat as necessary for each Respondent and adjust paragraph numbers accordingly)					
Further detail regarding the efforts and activities of the parties with respect to the					
treatment plan are found in the court report for this hearing, [filed on]					
[attached and incorporated by reference].					
9. (Complete the appropriate paragraph and delete the other)					
a. (If the hearing is a ninety (90) day permanency review hearing) The					
transition home plan was [not] successful because					
b. (If the hearing is a sixty (60) day permanency review hearing)					
[CYFD has made an appropriate relative placement.] [No relative placement has been					
made by CYFD; further, CYFD has [not] made reasonable efforts to identify and locate					
all grandparents and other relatives and reasonable efforts to conduct home studies on					
any appropriate relatives expressing an interest in providing permanency for the					
child(ren).]					

10. (Not applicable if case is dismissed) The treatment plan proposed by
CYFD in its court report for this hearing, [filed on] [attached to this
Order], is appropriate in the circumstances of this case and should be adopted by the
Court for implementation by CYFD, subject to the following modifications or additions:
11. (Not applicable if legal custody is returned) The permanency plan
proposed by CYFD is; the Court finds that this plan is [not] appropriate
(and a plan of is in the best interests of
(name(s) of child(ren))). (Modify as appropriate if all of the children do not have the
same permanency plan)
12. (To be used if the ordered permanency is not adoption and the child(ren)
will remain in foster care after the hearing and no Motion for Termination of Parental
Rights has been or will be filed) A motion to terminate parental rights will not be filed by
CYFD at this time because of the following compelling reasons: (Select the applicable
reason(s) and delete the others – there are other possible reasons, but they are rarely,
if ever, used)
a. The parent(s), has/have made substantial progress toward eliminating the problem that caused's (name(s) of
child(ren)) placement in foster care; it is likely the child(ren) will be able to safely return
to the parent's home within three (3) months and the child(ren)'s return to the home will
be in the child(ren)'s best interests.
b (name(s) of child(ren)) has/have a close and
positive relationship with a parent and a permanency plan that does not include
termination of parental rights will provide the most secure and appropriate placement for
the child(ren).
c (name(s) of child(ren)) is fourteen (14) years of
age or older, is firmly opposed to termination of parental rights and is likely to disrupt an
attempt to place [him] [her] with an adoptive family.
d (<i>name(s) of child(ren)</i>) is not capable of
functioning if placed in a family setting. (To be re-evaluated every ninety (90) days
unless there is a final court determination that the child cannot be placed in a family
setting)
e. The parent's incarceration or participation in a court ordered
residential substance abuse treatment program constitutes the primary factor in
's (name(s) of child(ren)) placement in substitute care and termination
of parental rights is not in the child's best interests.
f. Grounds do not exist for termination of parental rights because
to make reasonable efforts to offer treatment plan services to a Respondent)
13. (To be used if the Court-ordered plan is planned permanent living
arrangement. Delete if not used.) The permanency plan of planned permanent living
arrangement is justified by the following compelling reasons: Reunification is not
appropriate because; adoption is not appropriate because; permanent guardianship is not appropriate because
, permanent guardianship is not appropriate because; placement with a fit and willing relative is not appropriate
because (and the child affirmatively desires to be independent).

14.	(Selec	t appropriate option and delete the re	st)	
	a.	CYFD has made reasonable efforts to	o place siblings in cu	stody
together, and	d they h	ave been placed together.		
_	b.	The siblings have not been placed to	gether because	
		_, and the siblings have been provide		n or
other interac	tion, as	follows: .		
	C.	The siblings have not been provided	reasonable visitation	or other
ongoing inte		because such visitation or other intera		
		of any of the siblings because		
		t appropriate option and delete the re		
	à.	It is in the best interest of	(name(s) of chi	ld(ren))
that the child	d(ren) re	It is in the best interest ofemain in the legal custody of CYFD su	bject to judicial reviev	w as
required by I		3	,	
. ,		It is in the best interest of	(name(s) of chi	ld(ren))
that the child	d(ren) be	e returned to the legal custody of		and the
case be disn	nissed			
	C.	It is in the best interests ofe returned to the legal custody of	(name(s) of ch	nild(ren))
that the child	d(ren) be	e returned to the legal custody of	with	า
	, ,	_ (up to six (6)) months of protective	supervision by CYFD	, which
will expire or	<u>1</u>	During the period of p	otective supervision	the
following lim	itations	to legal custody will be in place: (indic	cate limitations, such	as
compliance	with trea	atment plan, etc.)		
16.	Visitat	ion should be as set forth in the treatn	nent plan adopted by	the
Court.				
17.	CYFD	has presented a report for this hearing	g, [filed on	•
		at contains the facts involved in this n	natter which are adop	ted as
further findin				
		finding(s): (<i>Consider whether findings</i>		
youth is nec	essary.	This is also where other findings mad	de by the Court may l	oe -
added.)				
19.	The a	opointment(s) oftional decision maker and	as's	(name(s)
of child(ren)) educat	tional decision maker and	as	's
		n)) parent for the purposes of the Fam		
		a) has/have been reviewed, and shoul		
-		make educational decisions and who	should be considered	d a parent
for purposes	of FER	RPA. Repeat or modify as necessary.)		
IT IS THERE				
1.	=	t appropriate custody option and dele		
	. а.	It is in the best interests of	(name(s) of ch	าild(ren))
		nain in the legal custody of CYFD, sub	pject to periodic reviev	w as
required by I	aw.		, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	
	b.	It is in the best interests ofe returned to the legal custody of	(name(s) of ch	าแd(ren))
that the child	(ren) b	e returned to the legal custody of	and th	ne case
be dismissed	d.			

c. It is in the best interests of (name(s) of child(ren)) that the child(ren) be returned to the legal custody of with (up to six (6)) months of protective supervision by CYFD, which
that the child(ren) be returned to the legal custody of with
(up to six (6)) months of protective supervision by CYFD, which
will expire on During the period of protective supervision the following
limitations to legal custody shall be in place: (Indicate limitations, such as compliance
with treatment plan, etc.)
2. (Do not use if legal custody is returned)'s (name(s) of
child(ren)) permanency plan shall be
3. (The next three paragraphs are used only if Respondent(s) remain in the
case) The treatment plan proposed by CYFD in its court report for this hearing is
adopted, and each Respondent shall make reasonable efforts to comply with the
treatment plan and to achieve the desired outcomes set forth in the treatment plan for
that Respondent.
4. CYFD shall make reasonable (<i>and if ICWA applies</i> , "active") efforts to
implement the treatment plan.
5. Visitation shall be as set forth in the treatment plan.
6. (Include this paragraph only if ICWA applies; otherwise delete) CYFD sha
continue to make active efforts to provide remedial services and rehabilitative programs
designed to prevent the breakup of the Indian family.
7. CYFD and attorneys of record shall have, during the pendency of this
case, access to all records and reports relating to investigation, treatment, and/or
education. Further disclosure of records, reports, writing, or related information to third
parties or persons is prohibited except as provided by Section 32A-4-33 NMSA 1978.
8. Respondents shall maintain regular communication with their attorneys
and social worker to inform themselves about the dates and times of any court hearings
or meetings requiring their attendance.
9. Respondents shall identify all relatives known to them who are or may be
interested in providing permanency or placement for (name(s) of
child(ren)).
10. (Consider whether an order regarding a transition plan for youth is
necessary. This is also where other orders made by the Court may be added.)
11. (If applicable) A separate order shall issue [appointing] [changing]
purposes of FERPA. ²
District Court Judge
(Add signature lines for all attorneys in the case)
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·

USE NOTES

1. See Section 32A-1-12(E) NMSA 1978 for jurisdictional considerations. See also In re Andrea M., 2000-NMCA-079, \P 6, 129 N.M. 512, 10 P.3d 191 ("If the

Indian child resides or is domiciled within the reservation of the child's tribe, jurisdiction over child custody proceedings is exclusively vested in the tribe.").

2. The appointment of an educational decision maker implicates significant educational rights for children and must be reviewed throughout the duration of the case. The individual appointed to be the educational decision maker should be a person who knows the child, is willing to accept responsibility for making educational decisions, does not have any personal or professional interests that conflict with the interests of the child, and is able to make any necessary educational decisions, including decisions related to whether the child is a child with a disability under the federal Individuals with Disabilities Education Act. See, e.g., 34 C.F.R. § 300.519(d) (listing criteria for the selection of surrogate parents for wards of the state, which preclude guardians ad litem and CYFD caseworkers from serving in this role); see also 34 C.F.R. § 300.30 (defining "Parent" as used in federal Department of Education regulations).

[Adopted by Supreme Court Order No. 14-8300-009, effective for all cases filed or pending on or after December 31, 2014; as amended by Supreme Court Order No. 15-8300-017, effective for all cases filed or pending on or after December 31, 2015.]