10-531. Initial permanency order.
STATE OF NEW MEXICO COUNTY OF JUDICIAL DISTRICT
IN THE CHILDREN'S COURT
STATE OF NEW MEXICO ex rel. CHILDREN, YOUTH AND FAMILIES DEPARTMENT
No
In the Matter of
, (a) Child(ren), and Concerning, Respondent(s).
INITIAL PERMANENCY ORDER
This matter came before the [Honorable] [Special Master] on (date) for initial permanency hearing. The New Mexico Children, Youth and Families Department (CYFD) was represented by, children's court attorney (name(s) of child(ren)) was/were [not] present [and] [but] was/were represented by, (guardian ad litem/attorney). (Expand as necessary) Respondent(s) was/were [not] present [btelephone] [and] [but] was/were represented by attorney (Expand modify as necessary) The CASA was [not] present. (If applicable) A court certified interpreter did [not] provide interpretation services for the hearing. The Court has heard the [evidence] [stipulation of the parties], reviewed the pleadings, is fully advised in the matter, and FINDS:
1. The Court has jurisdiction over the subject matter of this cause and the parties in this cause, except, who has/have not yet been served and has/have not otherwise made a voluntary appearance or waived service of
2. [(name(s) of child(ren)) is/are [not] subject to the Indian Child Welfare Act (ICWA).] [It is undetermined if ICWA applies, so at the present time,(name(s) of child(ren)) is/are not subject to ICWA.] 3. (If ICWA applies, select one of the following and delete the others;
otherwise, delete this paragraph) a (name(s) of child(ren)) is/are placed with a member of the child(ren)'s extended family.
b (name(s) of child(ren)) is/are placed in a foster home licensed, approved, or specified by the Indian child(ren)'s tribe.
c (name(s) of child(ren)) is/are placed in an Indian foster home licensed or approved or authorized by a non-Indian licensing authority.

	d.		(name	(s) of child	(ren)) is/a	are placed	in an
institution for	or childre	en approved b	y an Indian	ı tribe or op	perated b	y an India	n organization
which has a	a progra	m suitable to r	neet the ch	ild(ren)'s r	needs.		_
AND)						
		's (<i>name(s</i>	s) of child(re	<i>en)</i>) tribe w	vas notific	ed of this h	nearing and a
representat	tive of th	e child(ren)'s	tribe did [no	ot] participa	ate in the	hearing.	
4.	The s	ubstitute care	provider wa	as notified	of this he	earing and	[was not
present] [w	as prese	ent and given t	he opportu	nity to be h	neard].	•	_
5.	CYFD) has made re	asonable e	fforts to im	plement	the treatm	ent plan
previously of	ordered	by the Court.					
6.	CYFD) has made re	asonable e	fforts to fin	alize the	permaner	ncy plan
currently in	effect, v	which is		_, as follow	ıs: (be fa	ctually spe	ecific in
enunciating	y what C	YFD has done	to accomp	olish the go	oal inhere	ent in the p	ermanency
plan identif	ïed abov	∕e)		_		-	
7.	With r	respect to Res	pondent		<u> </u>		
	a.	This Respon	dent has co	mplied wit	th the tre	atment pla	n as follows:
b.	This F	Respondent ha	as failed to	comply wit	th the tre	atment nls	n as follows:
D .	11110 1	(Copondent ne	io falled to	oompry wit		atmont pla	;
C.	This F	Respondent ha	as progress	ed in the f	ollowing	ways:	
d.	This F	Respondent ne	eds to mal	ke further p	orogress	in the follo	wing areas:
e.	(If apı	plicable) The t	rial home v	isit which c	commend	ed on	
		d for a period r					
						ph numbe	rs accordingly)
							respect to the
		found in the co			•		•
		nd incorporate			J, [
8.		reatment plan			its court	report for	this hearing.
[filed on		•		•		•	cumstances of
	nd shoul	ld be adopted					
		cations/addition					-, ,
9.		ermanency pl) is		: the Court
	nis plan i	is [not] approp	riate (and a	a plan of			is in the best
							ate if all of the
		e the same pe			,		
		•	•	. ,	not retui	rned) The	plan proposed
		on					
months as	required	d by statute, a	s set forth i	n the court	report fo	or this hear	rina. is
	•	opted by the C			•		g,
AND)	•					
		d be a perman	ency review	w hearing s	schedule	d within th	ree (3)
11101	o or louid	a se a perman	Silvy ICVIC	" Houring		~ vviu iii i iii	100 (0)

There should be a permanency review hearing scheduled within three (3) months, as required in the circumstances of this case by Section 32A-4-25.1(C) NMSA 1978.

11. (To be used if the permanency plan is not reunification) CYFD has [not] made reasonable efforts to identify and locate all grandparents and other relatives and reasonable efforts to conduct home studies on any appropriate relatives expressing an interest in providing permanency for
the child(ren).
c (name(s) of child(ren)) is fourteen (14) years of age or older, is firmly opposed to termination of parental rights and is likely to disrupt an attempt to place [him] [her] with an adoptive family;
d. (name(s) of child(ren)) is not capable of
functioning if placed in a family setting. (To be re-evaluated every ninety (90) days unless there is a final court determination that the child cannot be placed in a family setting)
e. The parent's incarceration or participation in a court ordered
residential substance abuse treatment program constitutes the primary factor in's (name(s) of child(ren)) placement in substitute care and termination
of parental rights is not in the child's best interests. f. Grounds do not exist for termination of parental rights because . (Boilerplate is not adequate the reason should amount to a failure
to make reasonable efforts to offer treatment plan services to a Respondent) 14. (To be used if the Court-ordered permanency plan is another planned
permanent living arrangement) Placement in the legal custody of the Department under a permanency plan of planned permanent living arrangement is appropriate due to the following compelling reasons: Reunification is not appropriate because
; adoption is not appropriate because; permanent guardianship is not appropriate because; placement
permanent guardianship is not appropriate because; placement
with a fit and willing relative is not appropriate because

made active efforts to provide remedial services and rehabilitative programs designed to prevent the breakup of the Indian family. (Select appropriate option and delete the rest) CYFD has made reasonable efforts to place siblings in custody together and they have been placed together. The Department has made reasonable efforts to place the siblings in custody together but has not been able to do so. The siblings have not been placed together as placement would be contrary to the safety or well-being of the siblings and the siblings have been provided reasonable visitation or other interaction, as follows: The siblings have not been placed together or provided visitation or other ongoing interaction as such visitation or other interaction would be contrary to the safety or well-being of any of the siblings because (Select appropriate option and delete the other) _____ (name(s) of child(ren)) has/have been placed with an appropriate relative. (name(s) of child(ren)) has/have not been placed with an appropriate relative; further, CYFD has [not] made reasonable efforts to identify and locate all grandparents and other relatives and reasonable efforts to conduct home studies on any appropriate relatives expressing an interest in providing permanency for the child(ren). 18. (Select appropriate custody option and delete the rest) It is in the best interest of (name(s) of child(ren)) that the child(ren) remain(s) in the legal custody of CYFD subject to judicial review as required by law. Legal custody of _____ (name(s) of child(ren)) is returned to the child(ren)'s parent, guardian or custodian and the case dismissed. Legal custody of (name(s) of child(ren)) is/are C. returned to the child(ren)'s parent, guardian or custodian, subject to protective supervision of the child(ren) by CYFD for a period not to exceed six (6) months and subject to the following additional conditions or limitations as follows: 19. (If applicable) Visitation shall be as set forth in the treatment plan adopted by the Court. 20. CYFD has presented a report for this hearing, [filed on [attached hereto], containing the facts involved in this matter which are adopted as further findings of the Court. Other Findings(s): (Consider whether Findings regarding a transition plan for youth is necessary.) (This is also where other findings made by the Court would be added.) 22. The appointment(s) of _____ as _____'s (name(s) of child(ren)) educational decision maker and _____ as _____'s (name(s) of child(ren)) parent for the purposes of the Family Educational Rights and

Privacy Act (FERPA) has/have been reviewed, and should [not] continue.² (If not,

(Include this finding only if ICWA applies; otherwise delete) CYFD has

identify who should make educational decisions and who should be considered a parent for purposes of FERPA. Repeat or modify as necessary.)

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED:
 (Select appropriate custody option and delete the rest)
a (name(s) of child(ren)) shall remain in the legal
custody of CYFD subject to judicial review as required by law.
b. Legal custody of (name(s) of child(ren)) is returned
b. Legal custody of (name(s) of child(ren)) is returned to the child(ren)'s parent, guardian or custodian and the case dismissed.
c. Legal custody of (name(s) of child(ren)) is/are
returned to the child(ren)'s parent, guardian or custodian, subject to protective
supervision of the child(ren) by CYFD for a period not to exceed six (6) months and
subject to the following additional conditions or limitations:
2. (Do not use if legal custody is returned)'s (name(s) of
child(ren)) permanency plan shall be
3. (The next three paragraphs are used only if respondent(s) remain in the
case) The treatment plan proposed by CYFD in its court report for this hearing is
adopted, and each Respondent shall make reasonable efforts to comply with the
treatment plan and achieve the desired outcomes set forth in the treatment plan for that
Respondent.
4. CYFD shall make reasonable efforts to implement the treatment plan.
Visitation shall be as set forth in the treatment plan.
6. (Include this paragraph only if ICWA applies; otherwise delete) CYFD shall
continue to make active efforts to provide remedial services and rehabilitative programs
designed to prevent the breakup of the Indian family.
CYFD and attorneys of record shall have, during the pendency of this
case, access to all records and reports relating to investigation, treatment, and/or
education. Further disclosure of records, reports, writings or related information to third
parties or persons is prohibited except as provided by Section 32A-4-33 NMSA 1978.
8. Respondent(s) shall advise their respective attorneys and case worker of
any change in address or phone number and maintain regular communication with them
regarding the dates and times of any court hearings or meetings requiring his/her/their
attendance and the case in general.
9. (<i>If applicable</i>) The trial home visit which was commenced on
is extended for a period not to exceed six (6) months.
10. (Consider whether an order regarding a transition plan for youth is
necessary or additional ICWA related orders are necessary. This is also where other
orders made by the Court would be added.)
11. (If applicable) A separate order shall issue [appointing] [changing]
's (name(s) of child(ren)) educational decision maker and parent for the
purposes of FERPA. ²

District Court Judge

(Add signature lines for all attorneys in the case)

USE NOTES

- 1. See Section 32A-1-12(E) NMSA 1978 for jurisdictional considerations. See also In re Andrea M., 2000-NMCA-079, \P 6, 129 N.M. 512, 10 P.3d 191 ("If the Indian child resides or is domiciled within the reservation of the child's tribe, jurisdiction over child custody proceedings is exclusively vested in the tribe.").
- 2. The appointment of an educational decision maker implicates significant educational rights for children and must be reviewed throughout the duration of the case. The individual appointed to be the educational decision maker should be a person who knows the child, is willing to accept responsibility for making educational decisions, does not have any personal or professional interests that conflict with the interests of the child, and is able to make any necessary educational decisions, including decisions related to whether the child is a child with a disability under the federal Individuals with Disabilities Education Act. See, e.g., 34 C.F.R. § 300.519(d) (listing criteria for the selection of surrogate parents for wards of the state, which preclude guardians ad litem and CYFD caseworkers from serving in this role); see also 34 C.F.R. § 300.30 (defining "Parent" as used in federal Department of Education regulations).

[Adopted by Supreme Court Order No. 14-8300-009, effective for all cases filed or pending on or after December 31, 2014; as amended by Supreme Court Order No. 15-8300-017, effective for all cases filed or pending on or after December 31, 2015.]